

Comment on “Sodium Pyroxene $\text{NaTiSi}_2\text{O}_6$: Possible Haldane Spin-1 Chain System”

S.V. Streltsov,^{1,2} O.A. Popova,^{1,3} and D.I. Khomskii²

¹*Institute of Metal Physics, S.Kovalevskoy St. 18, 620219 Ekaterinburg GSP-170, Russia*

²*II. Physikalisches Institut, Universität zu Köln, Zùlpicher Straße 77, D-50937 Köln, Germany**

³*Ural State Technical University, Mira St. 19, 620002 Ekaterinburg, Russia*

PACS numbers: 71.27.+a, 71.10.-w

A rather rare phenomenon of an opening of the spin gap in transition metal oxides was observed in pyroxene $\text{NaTiSi}_2\text{O}_6$ and was interpreted as a formation of singlet Ti-Ti dimers [1, 2]. However, in the recent Letter [3] the authors challenged this picture. On the basis of spin polarized GGA calculations they argued that with decrease of the temperature this compound evolves into a Haldane phase, characterized by formation of one-dimensional $S=1$ chains.

This novel interpretation, however, is highly questionable. The authors claim that “direct overlap between 3d orbitals centered on *closer* Ti ions, ..., indicates that two electrons of *the same spin*, occupying those states, are shared by two Ti ions” (cursive ours). This picture however contradicts typical situation for shorts metal-metal bonds in insulating solids, especially those of spin $S = 1/2$ ions.

Most probably the defect lies in the neglect of electronic correlations in the calculation method used in [3]. $\text{NaTiSi}_2\text{O}_6$ is known to be strong Mott insulator with the energy gap close to 2 eV [4], whereas the calculations of Ref. 3 lead to very small energy gaps 0.2-0.3 eV.

In order to take into account strong electronic correlations in Ti-3d shell, we performed for the LT phase ($T=100$ K) of $\text{NaTiSi}_2\text{O}_6$ the LDA+U calculations [5], which was proven very successful in similar cases [6, 7, 8].

The calculation scheme was realized in the framework of the linear muffin-tin orbitals method [9]. Crystal structure parameters were taken from Ref. 10. The values of on-site Coulomb interaction $U = 3.3$ eV and Hund’s rule exchange $J_H = 0.8$ eV parameters for Ti-3d shell were

*Electronic address: streltsov@optics.imp.uran.ru

obtained in constrained supercell calculation [7].

In contrast to Ref. 3, the fully antiferromagnetic state (AFM) was found to have lowest total energy (see Tab.1). The total energy of F+AF state (ferromagnetically coupled antiferromagnetic dimers on short Ti-Ti bonds) is just a little bit larger. This indicates that the coupling between dimers is pretty small, $J^{inter} = 7$ K. On the contrary, the exchange interaction within Ti dimers is *antiferromagnetic* and rather strong: from the comparison of total energies of totally AFM and AF+F states we find $J^{intra} = 626$ K. Direct calculation of exchange integrals using the scheme of Ref. 11 gives very similar values for J ’s. Thus, our results confirm the formation of singlet Ti-Ti dimers in the LT phase of $\text{NaTiSi}_2\text{O}_6$, as originally proposed [1, 2]. The spin-gap would be even bigger than the one found in the experiment, $\Delta_{exp} \sim 500$ K [1]. The obtained value of the band gap $E_g = 1.71$ eV is also of the right order [4]. Thus our calculations give a quite reasonable description of the main physical properties of $\text{NaTiSi}_2\text{O}_6$, very different from that proposed in Ref. 3.

In conclusion, we stress that by neglecting correlation effects [3] one gets incorrect description of magnetic properties of $\text{NaTiSi}_2\text{O}_6$, which definitely Mott insulator. Our calculations demonstrate the formation of singlet Ti-Ti dimers in LT phase of $\text{NaTiSi}_2\text{O}_6$ driven by corresponding orbital ordering [2], which strongly disagrees with the results and interpretations of Ref. 3.

This work is supported by the projects DAAD A/05/01025/325, INTAS 05-109-4727, RFFI-04-02-16096, NWO 047.016.005, Ural branch of RAS through the YS support program, and SFB 608.

-
- [1] M. Isobe *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **71**, 1423 (2002)
 - [2] M.J. Konstantinović *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 020409(R) (2004); T. Hikihara and Y. Motome, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 214404 (2004).
 - [3] Z.S. Popović, Ž.V. Šljivančanin, and F.R. Vukajlović, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 036401 (2004).
 - [4] The color of $\text{NaTiSi}_2\text{O}_6$ sample is grayish green (M. Isobe, private commun.). It means that the band gap is of order of 2 eV.
 - [5] V.I. Anisimov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **44**, 943 (1991).
 - [6] I. Leonov *et al.*, cond-mat/0508378;
 - [7] S.V. Streltsov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 245114 (2005).
 - [8] A. Seidel *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **67**, 020405 (2003).
 - [9] O.K. Andersen and O. Jepsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 2571 (1984).
 - [10] G.J. Redhammer *et al.*, Acta Cryst. **B59**, 730 (2003).
 - [11] M.I. Katsnelson and A.I. Lichtenstein, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 8906 (2000).

TABLE I: Total energies (per dimer), values of magnetic moment and band gap for different magnetic solutions. Ti ions forming dimers with short Ti-Ti distances are connected by “-”.

	Magnetic ordering		Total energy (meV)	Spin moment (μ_B)	Band gap (eV)
AFM	$\uparrow - \downarrow$	$\uparrow - \downarrow$	0	0.91	1.71
F+AF	$\uparrow - \downarrow$	$\downarrow - \uparrow$	0.6	0.91	1.66
AF+F	$\uparrow - \uparrow$	$\downarrow - \downarrow$	54	0.92	1.5
FM	$\uparrow - \uparrow$	$\uparrow - \uparrow$	53	0.93	1.35